

## Education Sector

S. NO.	LINK	FACT OF THE CASES	CITATION	FORUM	CASE NO.	DATE OF JUDGEMENT	JUDGEMENT WITH THEME
1.	<a href="http://164.100.72.12/ncdrcprep/judgement/00140319100608487RP12722006.htm">http://164.100.72.12/ncdrcprep/judgement/00140319100608487RP12722006.htm</a>	Brief facts of the case are that the complainant filed consumer complaint no. 810 on 17.10.2003 on the ground that they purchased a Nursery School site No. 3 at Sector 21 D, Faridabad through open auction on 15.10.98 at a cost of ` 17,32,500/- and the allotment letters dated 26.07.98 and 30.07.99 were issued to them. 10% of the cost of the site was deposited at the time of auction and 15% was deposited within 30 days from the date of allotment. The balance payment was also made as per schedule in instalments, but the petitioner/OP failed to discharge its responsibility to hand over physical unencumbered possession of the site immediately after deposit of 25% of the total cost. After writing many letters to the OP for possession, the formal possession was offered vide letter dated 30.07.99 and handed over vide letter dated 09.08.99. The building plan of the site was sanctioned on 24.05.2000, but when the complainant was about to start the construction work, they found high tension electricity line passing through their plot. It has been alleged that it was the duty of the OP to remove the high tension wire and other encroachments, before handing over the possession of the plot. The OP had, therefore, indulged in deficiency in service.	Adhunik Educational Cultural & Welfare Society (Complainant/Petitioner) Versus Haryana Urban Development Authority (Respondent)	DISTRICT FORUM  FARIDABAD	<i>Complaint case no. 810 dated 17.10.2003</i>	20.07.2004	Allowed the complaint and ordered to remove the high tension wire forthwith. It also stated that if it was not possible to shift the said wire from that place, an alternative site of the same size and similar price should be given to the complainant. In addition, due to deficiency in service, an amount of Rs.4,75,345/- received from the complainant in the shape of interest on installments was ordered to be refunded. It was also directed that interest @10% p.a. should be paid on the deposited amount. and also ordered to pay a sum of ` 2 lakh as compensation for mental harassment and ` 2,000/- as cost of litigation.
			Haryana Urban Development Authority (Appellant/Petitioner) Versus Adhunik Educational Cultural & Welfare Society (Respondent)	SCDRC FORUM HARYANA	<i>First appeal no. 2819/2004</i>	04.05.2005	Held that HUDA had made a revised zoning plan for the area and in view of that, the directions issued by the District Forum had become infructuous. However, the complainant was entitled to get interest @10% p.a. on the deposited amount after 2 years from the date of allotment till the date of revision of Zoning Plan, i.e., 04.08.2003. The compensation of ` 2 lakh awarded to the complainant was also reduced to ` 50,000/- .

			<b>Haryana Urban Development Authority (Petitioner) Versus Adhunik Educational Cultural &amp; Welfare Society ( Respondent)</b>	<b>NCDRC</b>	<i>Revision petition no.1272 OF 2006</i>	<b>19.03.2014</b>	<b>NCRDC dismissed the revision petition and upheld the order of the State Commission which does not suffer from any illegality, irregularity or jurisdictional error I with no order as to costs and also there is no merit in the revision petition.</b>
--	--	--	---	--------------	--	-------------------	--